### 1 - TRADITIONAL COURSE
The frequency of my attendance in this class was:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response Option</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Percent Responses</th>
<th>Means</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Almost every day</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td><img src="chart1.png" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missed 3-6 days</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td><img src="chart2.png" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missed 7 or more days</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td><img src="chart3.png" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Return Rate | Mean | STD | Median |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25/30 (83.33%)</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2 - ONLINE COURSE
The frequency of my participation in this online class was:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response Option</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Percent Responses</th>
<th>Means</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I logged in almost every day</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>38.89%</td>
<td><img src="chart1.png" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td>2.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I logged in 3 times a week</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>27.78%</td>
<td><img src="chart2.png" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I logged in 1 to 2 times a week</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>22.22%</td>
<td><img src="chart3.png" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I did not log in every week</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11.11%</td>
<td><img src="chart4.png" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Return Rate | Mean | STD | Median |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18/30 (60%)</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3 - I took responsibility for learning the material in this course.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response Option</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Percent Responses</th>
<th>Means</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td><img src="chart1.png" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td>4.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td><img src="chart2.png" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither Agree Nor Disagree</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td><img src="chart3.png" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td><img src="chart4.png" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td><img src="chart5.png" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Return Rate | Mean | STD | Median |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25/30 (83.33%)</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4 - The instructor promoted an atmosphere in the class that was conducive to my learning.

Mehrgan Mostowfi

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response Option</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Percent Responses</th>
<th>Means</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td><img src="chart1.png" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td>3.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td><img src="chart2.png" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither Agree or Disagree</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td><img src="chart3.png" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td><img src="chart4.png" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td><img src="chart5.png" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Return Rate | Mean | STD | Median |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25/30 (83.33%)</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5 - The instructor communicated concepts so that I could understand them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response Option</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Percent Responses</th>
<th>Means</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither Agree or Disagree</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Return Rate: 25/30 (83.33%)  
Instructor: Mehrgan Mostowfi

6 - The instructor demonstrated knowledge and/or expertise in the subject matter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response Option</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Percent Responses</th>
<th>Means</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither Agree or Disagree</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Return Rate: 25/30 (83.33%)  
Instructor: Mehrgan Mostowfi

7 - The instructor was helpful when I had questions about the course.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response Option</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Percent Responses</th>
<th>Means</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither Agree or Disagree</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Return Rate: 25/30 (83.33%)  
Instructor: Mehrgan Mostowfi

8 - The instructor provided clear information about expectations for the class (I knew what I needed to do and when work was due).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response Option</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Percent Responses</th>
<th>Means</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither Agree or Disagree</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Return Rate: 25/30 (83.33%)  
Instructor: Mehrgan Mostowfi

UNC - College of Natural and Health Sciences  
9 - The instructor provided constructive feedback on my work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response Option</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Percent Responses</th>
<th>Means</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither Agree or Disagree</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Return Rate: 25/30 (83.33%)

Mean: 3.76
STD: 1.01
Median: 4.00

10 - I was challenged to think in this course.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response Option</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Percent Responses</th>
<th>Means</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither Agree or Disagree</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Return Rate: 25/30 (83.33%)

Mean: 4.36
STD: 0.57
Median: 4.00

11 - My work was graded fairly (e.g., exams, quizzes, other assignments).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response Option</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Percent Responses</th>
<th>Means</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither Agree or Disagree</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Return Rate: 25/30 (83.33%)

Mean: 4.32
STD: 0.75
Median: 4.00

12 - Assignments were returned in time to enable me to apply the instructor's feedback on subsequent assignments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response Option</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Percent Responses</th>
<th>Means</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither Agree or Disagree</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Return Rate: 25/30 (83.33%)

Mean: 3.84
STD: 0.90
Median: 4.00

Instructor: Mehrgan Mostowfi

 UNC - College of Natural and Health Sciences


Instructor: Mehrgan Mostowfi *
13 - The instructor treated all students with respect.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response Option</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Percent Responses</th>
<th>Means</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither Agree or Disagree</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Return Rate | Mean | STD | Median
25/30 (83.33%) | 4.04 | 0.84 | 4.00

14 - I learned a lot in this class.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response Option</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Percent Responses</th>
<th>Means</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither Agree or Disagree</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Return Rate | Mean | STD | Median
25/30 (83.33%) | 4.20 | 0.87 | 4.00

15 - Overall I would rate this instructor as effective.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response Option</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Percent Responses</th>
<th>Means</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither Agree or Disagree</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Return Rate | Mean | STD | Median
25/30 (83.33%) | 4.04 | 0.93 | 4.00
I think a bit more in-class time during the second half of the course would have been beneficial to learning programming. The end of the class period. Better; also might give more time to hands-on problems during the second half of the course so if the students have questions they can ask then, as well as trying not to rush through the problems at

Most of the class went in lecture format and the lecture notes were given out after the material - if you give the lecture notes before hand the students can read ahead and be able to follow along better; also might give more time to hands-on problems during the second half of the course so if the students have questions they can ask then, as well as trying not to rush through the problems at the end of the class period.

I do wish he posted more material to read and supplement his lectures. Often confusing and overstated material and made simple tasks complicated and difficult to understand. Support alternate ways to approach problems than the solution he came up with. Slowing down when learning complicated material.

As I stated in #16, he is a WONDERFUL teacher. I honestly have no complaints. He was good at teaching us the course material and answering questions both inside and outside of class. Effective teaching and methods, fair grading. Always would walk around to see if students understood material. Shows good examples.

He kept telling me the course was easy and that I was the only one to not understand it which was demeaning. Sometimes, topics and concepts took longer to complete than others. A more steady pace maybe. I don't know.

I think a bit more in-class time during the second half of the course would have been beneficial to learning programming.
Please comment on the strengths and areas for improvement in the teaching effectiveness of the instructor in this class. Be as specific as possible.

The strengths in the teaching effectiveness of Mehrgan are the lecture examples, delivery of the material, and enthusiasm for the topic.

Areas for improvement would be to allow for a bit more in-class work, especially in the programming portion.

Please comment on the areas of strength and areas for improvement of the course (for example, about the text(s), in-class materials, on-line materials, projects, activities, tests...). Be as specific as possible.

The course is good, but having structured reading that complements the in-class lecture would be beneficial.
Instructor Name: Mehran Mostowfi
Subject: CS101 006
CRN: 20112
Semester: Fall 2015
Spring 2016

Please comment on the strengths and areas for improvement in the teaching effectiveness of the instructor in this class. Be as specific as possible.

- Mehran is very knowledgeable in his field and knows how to teach the concepts well.
- Also at the beginning of every class he would ask if anyone had any questions before we began class which proved very helpful.

Please comment on the areas of strength and areas for improvement of the course (for example, about the text(s), in-class materials, on-line materials, projects, activities, tests....). Be as specific as possible.

overall well rounded class
Please comment on the strengths and areas for improvement in the teaching effectiveness of the instructor in this class. Be as specific as possible.

The powerpoints having access to the notes helps tremendously. However there isn't enough time in class to get through the problems. It's helpful to do them during class so if any questions pop up we were able to ask the professor.

Please comment on the areas of strength and areas for improvement of the course (for example, about the text(s), in-class materials, on-line materials, projects, activities, tests...). Be as specific as possible.

The activities were very helpful in reinforcing what was learned in class. The examples were also very helpful in giving a visual to what was learned.
Instructor Name: Mehran Mostoufi

Subject: SC101-006 Intro to Computer Science Spring 2020

CRN: 20112

Semester: Fall 2019 Spring 2020

Please comment on the strengths and areas for improvement in the teaching effectiveness of the instructor in this class. Be as specific as possible.

Dr. Mostoufi did an amazing teaching job. The class was fun and challenging. There was no rushing and stayed on track throughout the semester. We stayed on track and followed the syllabus to the T. It was nice that he put time in and asked if we did not understand anything he would clarify.

Please comment on the areas of strength and areas for improvement of the course (for example, about the text(s), in-class materials, on-line materials, projects, activities, tests...). Be as specific as possible.

Each activity could have been explained in depth, but time was spent on accomplishing
Instructor Name: Mehran Mostowfi
Subject: CS 101-003
CRN: 20112
Semester: Fall 2015

Please comment on the strengths and areas for improvement in the teaching effectiveness of the instructor in this class. Be as specific as possible.

Very knowledgeable and helpful in class. I do wish he had more of review section for tests. I realize that you should be responsible for all the material but knowing what to study can help ease a lot of test anxiety.

Please comment on the areas of strength and areas for improvement of the course (for example, about the text(s), in-class materials, on-line materials, projects, activities, tests....). Be as specific as possible.

I like how there was no book but wanted more supplemental material.
Instructor Name: Dr. Mostofi
Subject: Computer Science 101
CRN: 123456 20112
Semester: Fall 2015

Please comment on the strengths and areas for improvement in the teaching effectiveness of the instructor in this class. Be as specific as possible.

I like the way the concepts were defined and then later implemented in an activity.

Please comment on the areas of strength and areas for improvement of the course (for example, about the text(s), in-class materials, on-line materials, projects, activities, tests...). Be as specific as possible.

More quizzes worth less points for better emphasis on certain things.
Instructor Name: Mehran Mostofi

Subject: C5101000

CRN: 20112

Semester: Fall 2015 Spring 2016

Please comment on the strengths and areas for improvement in the teaching effectiveness of the instructor in this class. Be as specific as possible.

At times the course moved very quick and some students felt left behind.

Please comment on the areas of strength and areas for improvement of the course (for example, about the text(s), in-class materials, on-line materials, projects, activities, tests...). Be as specific as possible.

Mehrgan took time to answer questions after class and always asked before starting a new class.
Please comment on the strengths and areas for improvement in the teaching effectiveness of the instructor in this class. Be as specific as possible.

He spends a good amount of time ensuring students understand but beginning 2/3 of class is just lecture and not very engaging.

Please comment on the areas of strength and areas for improvement of the course (for example, about the text(s), in-class materials, on-line materials, projects, activities, tests....). Be as specific as possible.

Many questions on exams and quizzes seemed too vague or didn’t directly relate to what was discussed in class. Handout exercises were helpful.
Instructor Name: Mehigan Mostowfi
Subject: CS101-006
CRN: 20112
Semester: Spring 2016

Please comment on the strengths and areas for improvement in the teaching effectiveness of the instructor in this class. Be as specific as possible.

Strength
- Good teaching using worksheets & examples mixed with lecture
- Good powerpoints
- Good job of going over tests & quizzes

Weaknesses
- Possibly faster pace spend less time on early things and more time on later assignments

Please comment on the areas of strength and areas for improvement of the course (for example, about the text(s), in-class materials, on-line materials, projects, activities, tests....). Be as specific as possible.

Smaller but more frequent homeworks.
Study guides
Instructor Name: Megan Mostoufi
Subject: CS 161 E01
CRN: 20112
Semester: Fall 2015 - Spring 2016

Please comment on the strengths and areas for improvement in the teaching effectiveness of the instructor in this class. Be as specific as possible.

Strengths
- Instructive knowledgeable about school resources and if unsure goes extra mile to find out.
- Teaching style very clear and concise easy to follow.
- Addresses all questions
- Approachable, non-rhyming

Weaknesses
- None.
- Maybe more office hours?

Please comment on the areas of strength and areas for improvement of the course (for example, about the text(s), in-class materials, on-line materials, projects, activities, tests…). Be as specific as possible.

Strengths
- Online homework easy to submit.
- Material readily available.

Weaknesses
- Maybe something that can help make course more interactive online.
- W1 Programming.
The class overall built my knowledge and understanding of computing and its evolution through the generations. I also was able to grasp a small knowledge of Python and some of the main features.

Mehrgan is a great professor.

A text book would be nice. I enjoy tangible things. Wish I had learned a little more about programming as I do not feel I could write my own small program without outside resources. However, this was an introductory course so can't expect to much.
Instructor Name: M. Elgarni M. Aboufri
Subject: CS 101 006
CRN: 2012
Semester: Fall 2015

Please comment on the strengths and areas for improvement in the teaching effectiveness of the instructor in this class. Be as specific as possible.

Strengths: vast understanding of material and great knowledge in the area

Weakness: often overcomplicated topics and was extremely confusing at times

Please comment on the areas of strength and areas for improvement of the course (for example, about the text(s), in-class materials, on-line materials, projects, activities, tests...). Be as specific as possible.

n/a
Please comment on the strengths and areas for improvement in the teaching effectiveness of the instructor in this class. Be as specific as possible.

* More detailed explanations when it comes to teaching code, and when to use what function
* Teach more towards beginners since it is a 101 class (don't use obscure computer terms)

Please comment on the areas of strength and areas for improvement of the course (for example, about the text(s), in-class materials, on-line materials, projects, activities, tests...). Be as specific as possible.

- Clear assignments and grading techniques
- Study guide or reviews for test would be helpful
Please comment on the strengths and areas for improvement in the teaching effectiveness of the instructor in this class. Be as specific as possible.

Good:
- Rigid structure
- The content was interesting
- The information was presented in an understandable fashion.

Bad:
- Due to size of class, sometimes it was easier to ask classmates questions rather than professor.
- Besides that, you are all good, homes.
Instructor Name: Megan Mostowfi

Subject: CS 101 001

CRN: 20112

Semester: Fall 2015
- Spring 2016

Please comment on the strengths and areas for improvement in the teaching effectiveness of the instructor in this class. Be as specific as possible.

- Well taught and covered a lot of material
- Numerous examples for everything
- Always willing to answer questions

Please comment on the areas of strength and areas for improvement of the course (for example, about the text(s), in-class materials, on-line materials, projects, activities, tests...). Be as specific as possible.

- There wasn't a lot of free time given to work on problems in class and then ask questions based off of the problems,
Instructor Name: Mostafii, Mehrsan
Subject: CS 101 03
CRN: 20182
Semester: Fall 2015 Spring 2016

Please comment on the strengths and areas for improvement in the teaching effectiveness of the instructor in this class. Be as specific as possible.

Strength: I enjoyed that the professor made himself very available to contact if you had questions.

Improvement: Needs to be more clear in explaining during the lectures.

Please comment on the areas of strength and areas for improvement of the course (for example, about the text(s), in-class materials, on-line materials, projects, activities, tests,...). Be as specific as possible.

Strengths: All the materials were provided by the professor which was very convenient. There are no areas of improvement for the text.
Instructor Name: Merhane Mostowf
Subject: CS101 - 006
CRN: 20122
Semester: Fall 2015

Please comment on the strengths and areas for improvement in the teaching effectiveness of the instructor in this class. Be as specific as possible.

**Improve**
- Lecture seemed good, as well as very fast-paced, sometimes made content hard to understand.

**Strength**
- Boy, building teaching style, starting with background and work on foundational knowledge before building to larger applicable topics.

Please comment on the areas of strength and areas for improvement of the course (for example, about the text(s), in-class materials, on-line materials, projects, activities, tests...). Be as specific as possible.

"Use experience more than just Python."
Please comment on the strengths and areas for improvement in the teaching effectiveness of the instructor in this class. Be as specific as possible.

*Overall the class is good but teacher could be more open to answer questions or help students.*

Please comment on the areas of strength and areas for improvement of the course (for example, about the text(s), in-class materials, on-line materials, projects, activities, tests....). Be as specific as possible.

*Material was good but lacked a textbook.*
mehrgan
Instructor Name: Mostofizi
Subject: CS 101 - 004
CRN: 20112
Semester: Fall 2015
spring 2016

Please comment on the strengths and areas for improvement in the teaching effectiveness of the instructor in this class. Be as specific as possible.

I personally felt like Mostofizi expected everyone to understand the programming and other material just because to him it was easy. He was the same way when going into office hours and made me feel like I was the only one who didn't understand. He was however helpful when I asked questions.

Please comment on the areas of strength and areas for improvement of the course (for example, about the text(s), in-class materials, on-line materials, projects, activities, tests...). Be as specific as possible.

CS 101 I think is too advanced for just a simple introduction course. The final project was useless and made no sense. Also, too many quizzes.
Instructor Name: Mehigan Mostafii

Subject: CSE101 CompScience

CRN: 20112

Semester: Fall 2015

Please comment on the strengths and areas for improvement in the teaching effectiveness of the instructor in this class. Be as specific as possible.

The teacher did an amazing job in being very informative throughout the lectures. He did an amazing job being available to help throughout the semester with any problems that my student.

Please comment on the areas of strength and areas for improvement of the course (for example, about the text(s), in-class materials, on-line materials, projects, activities, tests,...). Be as specific as possible.

There was not a lot in the packet that was appropriate considering the lecture and the textbook(s) of the class. Did an average job making the material available online that was needed.
Instructor Name: Mehrigan Mostowfi
Subject: CS 101 006
CRN: 20112
Semester: Fall 2015
Spring 2016

Please comment on the strengths and areas for improvement in the teaching effectiveness of the instructor in this class. Be as specific as possible.

I felt that I learned a lot about Python in a short amount of time. He answered emails quickly and was helpful in office hours.

Please comment on the areas of strength and areas for improvement of the course (for example, about the text(s), in-class materials, on-line materials, projects, activities, tests...). Be as specific as possible.

Slow down during the first half, and submit solutions to homework before quizzes so we knew what we got wrong.
Instructor Name: Mehryan Mestan
Subject: CS 101 006
CRN: 2015
Semester: Fall 2015

Please comment on the strengths and areas for improvement in the teaching effectiveness of the instructor in this class. Be as specific as possible.

The in class work was helpful and made people pay attention. The lectures were well structured.

Please comment on the areas of strength and areas for improvement of the course (for example, about the text(s), in-class materials, on-line materials, projects, activities, tests...). Be as specific as possible.

More attention to history would have been helpful. The final project is vague.
Please comment on the strengths and areas for improvement in the teaching effectiveness of the instructor in this class. Be as specific as possible.

- **Course Materials:**
  - Good
  - Relevant
- **Time Management:**
  - More help on homework

Please comment on the areas of strength and areas for improvement of the course (for example, about the text(s), in-class materials, online materials, projects, activities, tests...). Be as specific as possible.

- More help on homework
Please comment on the strengths and areas for improvement in the teaching effectiveness of the instructor in this class. Be as specific as possible.

- knowledgeable
- good in class time management

- could be more prepared with examples of programs sometimes.

- show programs being actually written more on screen in class.

Please comment on the areas of strength and areas for improvement of the course (for example, about the text(s), in-class materials, on-line materials, projects, activities, tests...). Be as specific as possible.

- history doesn't seem relevant to rest of class, would like more time on programming less on history.
Instructor Name: Mehran Mostowfi
Subject: CS101 006
CRN: 2112
Semester: Spring 2015

Please comment on the strengths and areas for improvement in the teaching effectiveness of the instructor in this class. Be as specific as possible.

The instructor was very well informed and extremely helpful with any minor issues any students would have. The lectures seemed to drone on quite a bit, though. Maybe mix things up?

Please comment on the areas of strength and areas for improvement of the course (for example, about the text(s), in-class materials, on-line materials, projects, activities, tests....). Be as specific as possible.

The assignments and quizzes served their purpose perfectly. They need not be improved.
Please comment on the strengths and areas for improvement in the teaching effectiveness of the instructor in this class. Be as specific as possible.

Lectures were good and in class exercises were good. Could have been more 1 on 1 help.

Please comment on the areas of strength and areas for improvement of the course (for example, about the text(s), in-class materials, on-line materials, projects, activities, tests….). Be as specific as possible.

In class exercises. Some of the HW assignments were overloaded.